



MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Violet Varona-Lukens, Executive Officer  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration  
Los Angeles, California 90012

Chief Administrative Officer

At its meeting held October 11, 2005, the Board took the following action:

105

The following item was called up for consideration:

Receive and file report by the Chief Administrative Officer on the impact on the County budget of the initiatives on the November 8, 2005 special election ballot, as requested by the Board at the meeting of June 20, 2005.

Supervisor Molina made the following statement:

“On November 8, 2005, various Statewide propositions will appear on the ballot. Several initiatives, were they to be approved by voters, would have significant, negative ramifications on Los Angeles County operations.

“Although passage of Proposition 75 would not cost the County significant funding to implement, it would have major, and unfair, ramifications on union operations. One of the ramifications is to establish a rigid standard for unions but not for other organizations, such as business corporations.

“Proposition 76, the ‘California Live Within Our Means Act’ has the potential to significantly affect County finances and operations by interrupting the flow of funds supporting vital services, and potentially shifting costs to the County. For example, if Proposition 76 was in effect during Fiscal Year 2003-2004, the spending limit would have reduced the County’s share of Realignment funds by approximately \$38 million.

“Proposition 77, which is primarily intended to change the redistricting process, would not allow sufficient time to assign voters to new precincts in their new legislative district in time for the June 6, 2006 Primary election and would result in one-time costs of approximately half a million dollars.”

(Continued on Page 2)

105 (Continued)

Ralph Miller representing AFSCME Local 685 addressed the Board.

After discussion, on motion of Supervisor Molina, seconded by Supervisor Burke, duly carried by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisors Burke, Yaroslavsky and Molina; Noes: Supervisors Knabe and Antonovich, the Board went on record in opposition to the following Statewide initiatives appearing on the November 8, 2005 ballot:

Proposition 75 – Public employees union dues;  
Proposition 76 – State spending and school funding limits;  
Proposition 77 – Redistricting.

Further, the Board received and filed the Chief Administrative Officer's attached report as amended by the foregoing action.

07101105\_105

Attachment

Copies distributed:  
Each Supervisor  
County Counsel